



After In-licensing and Towards an Exit

Noam Shani, Ph.D.

IP Lifecycle of Biotech Startups

- ▲ Biotech startups "graduate" between two major Intellectual Property (IP) transactions -
 - ▲ In-licensing of IP from a research institution or a founder
 - ▲ Out-licensing this IP, as further developed, to a global BioPharma company

In-Licensing: The Players

- ▲ The Licensor (Research Institution)
 - ▲ The legal owner of the IP
 - ▲ Process managed by Tech Transfer Office (TTO)
- ▲ The Licensee (Biotech Startup)
 - ▲ Represents an “applied” vs. “basic research” point of view
 - ▲ Limited financial means
 - ▲ Entrepreneur vs. Seed Investor
- ▲ The Inventor (scientist)
 - ▲ Represents the knowledge and know-how
 - ▲ Emotionally involved (“brain child”)
 - ▲ Founder? “highway patent”?

In-Licensing: TTO's Interests

- ▲ TTO's success is measured by -
 - ▲ Number of the institution's IP out-licensed
 - ▲ Increases probability of future revenues
 - ▲ Reduces internal IP expenses
 - ▲ Revenues
 - ▲ Preferably long-term royalty stream on product sales
 - ▲ Important for institution development & prestige
- ▲ TTO interest is to out-license to the most capable industry partners on the best possible terms

In-Licensing: Startup's Interests

- ▲ Startup's in-licensing success is measured by -
 - ▲ In-licensing the required IP
 - ▲ Obtaining exclusivity
 - ▲ Reasonable milestones and royalty terms

In-Licensing: Inventor's Interests

- ▲ Prestige & Recognition -
 - ▲ Commercial development; from lab to market
 - ▲ Scientific publications and collaborations
 - ▲ Benefit to mankind; personal legacy
- ▲ Income -
 - ▲ Commercial resources for research
 - ▲ Personal income from royalties and consulting

Main In-Licensing Pointers

- ▲ Team-up with a veteran lawyer
- ▲ Negotiate the in-licensing with the requirements of the sub-licensee in mind
- ▲ Avoid risky development and commercial milestones (assume the worst case scenario)
- ▲ Delay all major payments to better times (assume the worst case scenario)

Other In-Licensing Pointers

▲ IP quality

- ▲ Expiration: How many years after time to market?
- ▲ Freedom To Operate: Basic FTO analysis is highly recommended
- ▲ Composition Of Matter and Use: Is COM strong? What uses (indications) are protected?
- ▲ Know-how: Investigate know-how in “highway patents”
- ▲ Ownership: Investigate patent waivers

▲ Exclusivity

- ▲ Territory: Worldwide license is a must
- ▲ Right to sublicense: A must
- ▲ Right of sublicensee to further sublicense: highly recommended

Other In-Licensing Pointers

- ▲ Startup's Diligence
 - ▲ Clinical/regulatory milestones: Assume worst case scenario
 - ▲ Resources: Assume worst case scenario
- ▲ Milestone Fees: Target late regulatory milestones
- ▲ Royalties on sales
 - ▲ Remember “royalty stacking”
 - ▲ Build royalty rates: e.g., up to \$XM 3%, above \$YM 4%
 - ▲ Minimal royalties: If needed - Assume worst case scenario and keep the right to terminate
 - ▲ Differentiate between territories with and without valid claims

Between In & Out Licensing

- ▲ BioTech startups build value by lowering the perceived risks of product development and marketing
 - ▲ Main perceived risks: efficacy in humans, toxicity in humans, competition in market
 - ▲ Perceived IP risks can also be lowered -
 - ▲ Constructing a stronger IP portfolio by covering specific target indications
 - ▲ Building stronger and more protected “know-how” and “trade secretes”

Out-Licensing: The Players

- ▲ The licensee (Biotech Startup)
 - ▲ Stage: Early to mid stage clinical development (usually post Phase-I or Phase-II)
- ▲ The sub-licensee (Global BioPharma)
 - ▲ Risk vs. Gain assessment (science / clinical / tox / market)
 - ▲ Hidden agenda? Shelving?
- ▲ The licensee's partners (Licensor, Investors)
 - ▲ Licensor represents the long-term / royalty-based view
 - ▲ Investors represents the short-term / quick exit view

Main Out-Licensing Pointers

- ▲ Team-up with a veteran lawyer
 - ▲ A complex multi-party agreement
 - ▲ High risk / high pressure transaction (licensor vs. investor)
- ▲ Choose the right partner
 - ▲ Personal chemistry with the sub-licensee is critical
 - ▲ Anti shelving clauses
- ▲ Decide in advance - are you selling the company or licensing a drug
 - ▲ What is left after sub-licensing? Is it valuable? Can you afford to continue?

 **THANK YOU**

